Bremen





# Massively Parallel Algorithms Parallel Sorting



G. Zachmann University of Bremen, Germany cgvr.cs.uni-bremen.de

## Sorting using Spaghetti in O(1) (?)



- Consider the following thought experiment:
  - B. For each number x in the list, cut a spaghetto to length  $x \rightarrow$  list = bundle of spaghetti & unary repr.
  - C. Hold the spaghetti loosely in your hand and tap them on the kitchen table  $\rightarrow$  takes O(1)!



- D. Lower your other hand from above until it meets with a spaghetto this one is clearly the longest
- E. Remove this spaghetto and insert it into the front of the output list
- F. Repeat
- If we would compute using this mechanical spaghetti computer, then sorting would be O(1)



Bremen

W







#### Insertion sort:

- Considers only one element at a time
- Quicksort:
  - Yes, some parallelism at lower levels of the recursion tree
  - But, would need *median* as a pivot element  $\rightarrow$  hard to find
  - Otherwise, random pivot element causes varying sub-array sizes
- Heapsort:
  - Only one element at a time
  - Heap (= recursive data structure) is difficult on mass.-parallel architecture
- Radix sort:
  - Yes, we've seen that already, works well
  - But, can handle only fixed-length numbers





- In this chapter, we will always assume that  $n = 2^k$
- Elements can have any type, for which there is a comparison operator

## Sorting Networks

Bremen

W



- Informal definition of comparator networks:
  - Consist of a bundle of "wires"
  - Each wire *i* carries a data element *D<sub>i</sub>* (e.g., float) from left to right
  - Two wires can be connected vertically by a comparator
  - If D<sub>i</sub> > D<sub>j</sub> ∧ i < j (i.e., wrong order), then D<sub>i</sub> and D<sub>j</sub> are swapped by the comparator before they move on along the wires



- Observation: every comparator network is data independent, i.e., the arrangement of comparators and the running time are always the same!
- Goal: find a "small" comparator network that performs sorting for any input → sorting network











Bremen

W



Definition (monotone function):

Let A, B be two sets with a total ordering relation,

- and let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a mapping.
- f is called monotone iff

$$orall a_1$$
 ,  $a_2 \in A$  :  $a_1 \leq a_2 \Rightarrow f(a_1) \leq f(a_2)$ 

#### Lemma:

Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be monotone. Then the following holds:

$$\forall a_1, a_2 \in A : f(\min(a_1, a_2)) = \min(f(a_1), f(a_2))$$

Analogously for the max.

#### Proof:

Case 1: 
$$a_1 \le a_2 \Rightarrow f(a_1) \le f(a_2)$$
  
 $\min(a_1, a_2) = a_1$ ,  $\min(f(a_1), f(a_2)) = f(a_1)$   
 $f(\min(a_1, a_2)) = f(a_1) = \min(f(a_1), f(a_2))$ 

Case 2:  $a_2 < a_1 \rightarrow analog$ 





8

• Extension of  $f: A \rightarrow B$  to sequences over A and B, resp.:

$$f(a_0,\ldots,a_n)=f(a_0),\ldots,f(a_n)$$

Lemma:

Let *f* be a monotone mapping and  $\mathcal{N}$  a comparator network. Then  $\mathcal{N}$  and *f* commute, i.e.

$$\forall n \ \forall a_0, \ldots, a_n : \mathcal{N}(f(a)) = f(\mathcal{N}(a))$$



#### Proof:

Bremen

UŬ

- Let  $a = (a_0, \ldots, a_n)$  be a sequence
- Notation: we write a comparator connecting wire *i* and *j* like so:
   [*i* : *j*](*a*)



• Now the following is true:

$$[i:j](f(a)) = [i:j](f(a_0), \dots, f(a_n))$$
  
=  $(f(a_0), \dots, \underbrace{\min(f(a_i), f(a_j))}_{i}, \dots, \underbrace{\max(f(a_i), f(a_j))}_{j}, \dots, f(a_n))$   
=  $(f(a_0), \dots, f(\min(a_i, a_j)), \dots, f(\max(a_i, a_j)), \dots, f(a_n))$   
=  $f(a_0, \dots, \min(a_i, a_j), \dots, \max(a_i, a_j), \dots, a_n)$   
=  $f([i:j](a))$ 





Theorem (the 0-1 principle):

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a comparator network. Now, if  $\mathcal{N}$  sorts every sequence of 0's and 1's, then it also sorts every sequence of elements!





- Proof (by contradiction):
  - Assumption:  $\mathcal{N}$  sorts all 0-1 sequences, but does not sort sequence a
  - Then  $\mathcal{N}(a) = b$  is not sorted correctly, i.e.  $\exists k : b_k > b_{k+1}$
  - Define  $f: A \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  as follows:

$$f(c) = egin{cases} 0, & c < b_k \ 1, & c \geq b_k \end{cases}$$

• Now, the following holds:

$$f(b) = f(\mathcal{N}(a)) = \mathcal{N}(f(a)) = \mathcal{N}(a')$$

where a' is a 0-1 sequence.

- But: f(b) is not sorted, because  $f(b_k) = 1$  and  $f(b_{k+1}) = 0$
- Therefore,  $\mathcal{N}(a')$  is not sorted as well, in other words, we have constructed a 0-1 sequence that is not sorted correctly by  $\mathcal{N}$ .

## Batcher's Odd-Even-Mergesort



- In the following, we'll always assume that the length *n* of a sequence  $a_0,...,a_{n-1}$  is a power of 2, i.e.,  $n = 2^k$
- First of all, we define the sub-routine "odd-even merge":

```
oem(a_0, ..., a_{n-1}):
precondition: a_0, \dots, a_{n_2-1} and a_{n_2}, \dots, a_{n-1} are both sorted
postcondition: a_0, ..., a_{n-1} is sorted
if n = 2:
      compare [a_0:a_1]
                                                                                              (1)
if n > 2:
      \bar{a} \leftarrow a_0, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2} // = even sub-sequence
      \hat{a} \leftarrow a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}
                                                // = odd sub-sequence
       \overline{b} \leftarrow \text{oem}(\overline{a})
       \hat{\mathbf{b}} \leftarrow \mathbf{oem}(\hat{\mathbf{a}})
                                                                                               (2)
      copy \overline{b} \rightarrow a_0, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}
      copy \hat{b} \rightarrow a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}
      for i \in \{1, 3, 5, ..., n-3\}
                                                                                               (3)
             compare [a_i : a_{i+1}]
```

Bremen

W



- Proof of correctness:
  - By induction and the 0-1-principle
  - Base case: n = 2
  - Induction step:  $n = 2^k$ , k > 1
  - Consider a 0-1-sequence a<sub>0</sub>,...,a<sub>n-1</sub>
  - Write it in two columns
  - Visualize 0 = white, 1 = grey
  - Obviously: both ā and â consist of two sorted halves → preconditon of *oem* is met



SS





 In loop (3), these comparisons are made, and there can be only 3 cases:

 Afterwards, one of these two situations has been established:

- Result: the output sequence is sorted
- Conclusion:

every 0-1-sequence (meeting the preconditons) is sorted correctly

• Running time (sequ.) : 
$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + \frac{n}{2} - 1 \in O(n \log n)$$









The complete general sorting-algorithm:

```
\begin{array}{l} \texttt{oemSort}(\texttt{a}_0, \dots, \texttt{a}_{n-1}):\\ \texttt{if } \texttt{n} = \texttt{1}:\\ \texttt{return}\\ \texttt{a}_0, \dots, \texttt{a}_{n/2}^{-1} & \leftarrow \texttt{oemSort}(\texttt{a}_0, \dots, \texttt{a}_{n/2}^{-1})\\ \texttt{a}_{n/2}, \dots, \texttt{a}_{n-1} & \leftarrow \texttt{oemSort}(\texttt{a}_{n/2}, \dots, \texttt{a}_{n-1})\\ \texttt{oem}(\texttt{a}_0, \dots, \texttt{a}_{n-1}) \end{array}
```

• Running time (sequ.):  $T(n) \in O(n \log^2 n)$ 



Mapping the Recursion on a Massively-Parallel Architecture



- Load data onto the GPU (global memory)
- The CPU executes the following controlling program:

```
oemSort(n):
if n = 1 → return
oemSort(n/2)
oem(n, 1)
oem(n, stride):
if n = 2:
    launch oemBaseCaseKernel(stride)
    // launches n parallel threads
else:
    oem(n/2, stride*2)
    launch oemRecursionKernel(stride)
```

• With the stride parameter, we can achieve sorting "in situ"

G. Zachmann





• The kernel for line (3) of the original function *oem*():

```
oemRecursionKernel( stride ):
if thid < stride || thid ≥ n-stride:
    output SortData[thid]
else:
    a_i ← SortData[thid]
    a_j ← SortData[thid+stride ]
    if thid/stride is even:
        output max( a_i, a_j )
else:
        output min( a i, a j )
```

June 2013

• As usual, *thid* = thread ID = 0, ..., *n*-1





Kernel for line (1) of the function *oem*():

 Reminder: this kernel is executed in parallel for each index thid = 0, ..., n-1 in a stream





Depth complexity:

$$\frac{1}{2}\log^2 n + \frac{1}{2}\log n$$

• E.g., for 2<sup>20</sup> elements this are 210 passes



Bremen

W



Definition "bitonic sequence":

A sequence of numbers  $a_0, ..., a_{n-1}$  is bitonic  $\Leftrightarrow$ there is an index *i* such that

- $a_0, ..., a_i$  is monotonically increasing, and
- $a_{i+1}$ , ...,  $a_{n-1}$  is monotonically decreasing;

OR

if there is a cyclic shift of this sequence such that this is the case.

Because of the latter "OR", we understand all index arithmetic in the following modulo n, and/or we assume in the following that the sequence(s) have been cyclically shifted as described above



- Examples of bitonic sequences:
  - 0 2 4 8 10 9 7 5 3 ; 2 4 8 10 9 7 5 3 0 ; 4 8 10 9 7 5 3 0 2 ; …
  - 10 12 14 20 95 90 60 40
    35 23 18 0 3 5 8 9
  - **1**2345
  - •[]
  - 00000111110000;
     11111000001111111;
     1111100000.0000111
    - 1111100000;000011111



- These sequences are **NOT** bitonic sequences:
  - 123123
  - 123012





Visual representation of bitonic sequences:



- Because of the "modulo" index arithmetic, we can also visualize them on a circle or cylinder:
  - Clearly,
     bitonic sequences
     have exactly
     two inflection
     points







#### Properties of Bitonic Sequences



- Any sub-sequence of a bitonic sequence is a bitonic sequence
  - More precisely, assume  $a_0, ..., a_{n-1}$  is bitonic and we have indices  $0 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le ... \le i_m < n$
  - Then,  $a_{i_0}$ ,  $a_{i_1}$ , ...,  $a_{i_m}$  is bitonic, too
- If  $a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}$  is bitonic, then  $a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_0$  is bitonic, too
  - BTW: if we mirror a bitonic sequence "upside down", then the new sequence is bitonic, too
- A bitonic sequence has exactly one local(!) minimum and one local maximum



#### Some Notions and Definitions



More precise graphical notation of a comparator:



- Definition rotation operator:
  - Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, ..., a_{n-1})$ , and  $j \in [1, n-1]$ .

We define the rotation operator  $R_j$  acting on **a** as

$$R_j \mathbf{a} = (a_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{j+n-1})$$





#### Definition L / U operator:

$$L\mathbf{a} = (\min(a_0, a_{\frac{n}{2}}), \dots, \min(a_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, a_{n-1}))$$
$$U\mathbf{a} = (\max(a_0, a_{\frac{n}{2}}), \dots, \max(a_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, a_{n-1}))$$

#### Lemma:

The L/U operators are rotation invariant, i.e.

$$L\mathbf{a} = R_{-j}LR_j\mathbf{a}$$
, and  $U\mathbf{a} = R_{-j}UR_j\mathbf{a}$ .

(Remember that indices are always meant mod *n*)

Proof :

- We need to show that  $R_j L \mathbf{a} = L R_j \mathbf{a}$
- This is trivially the case:

$$LR_{j}\mathbf{a} = (\min(a_{j}, a_{j+\frac{n}{2}}), \dots, \min(a_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, a_{n-1}), \dots, \min(a_{j-1}, a_{j-1+\frac{n}{2}})) = \dots$$





Definition half-cleaner:

A network that takes **a** as input and outputs (*L***a**, *U***a**) is called a half-cleaner.

The network that realizes a half-cleaner:



- Because of the rotation invariance, we can depict a half-cleaner on a circle:
  - It always produces La and Ua, no matter how a is rotated around the circle!







#### • Theorem 1:

Given a bitonic input sequence **a**, the output of a half-cleaner has the following properties:

- 1. La and Ua are bitonic, too;
- **2.**  $\max{La} \leq \min{Ua}$







28

- The half-cleaner does the following:
  - 1. Shift (only conceptually) the right half of **a** over to the left
  - **2**. Take the point-wise min/max  $\rightarrow La$ , Ua
  - 3. Shift Ua back to the right
- Because a is bitonic, there can be only one cross-over point
- By construction, both La and Ua must have length n/2
- Property 1 follows from the sub-sequence property





## The Bitonic Merger

Bremen

W

- The half-cleaner is the basic (and only) building block for the bitonic sorting network!
- The recursive definition of a bitonic merger  $BM^{\uparrow}(n)$ :





Mapping to Massively Parallel Architecture



- We have  $n = 2^k$  many "lanes" = threads
- At each step, each thread needs to figure out its partner for compare/ exchange
- This can be done by considering the ID of each process (in binary):
  - At step j, j = 1, ..., k: partner ID = ID obtained by reversing bit (k-j) of own ID
- Example:





### The Bitonic Sorter



• The recursive definition of a bitonic sorter  $BS^{\uparrow}(n)$ :





## Visualizing Bitonic Sorting on a Linear Array









#### Example Bitonic Sorting Network

#### Processors

